

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/522,085	03/10/2000		Douglas S. Foote	9137.00	5683	
26889	7590	11/03/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL NCR CORPO			POINVIL, FRANTZY			
		RSON BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001				3692		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/522,085	FOOTE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frantzy Poinvil	3628		

	Frantzy Poinvil	3628							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have leen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS  3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because									
<ol> <li>Ine proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains a first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a bite	ITE helow)	because						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Below),							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))									
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🛛 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.									
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,15-23 and 25-29</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	where a harden	N - 41 6 A m m = m							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		$\overline{}$						
13.		Fram							
		Frantzy Poinvil Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3628							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as amended would require further search and or consideration. See also the prior Office action as the applicant's arguments are not convincing.